arXiv:1301.3311v1 [gr-qc] 15 Jan 2013

Canonical and phantom scalar fields as an interaction of two perfect fluids

Mauricio Cataldo*
Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad del Bío-Bío,
Avenida Collao 1202, Casilla 5-C, Concepción, Chile.

Fabiola Arévalo† and Patricio Mella‡
Departamento de Física, Universidad de Concepción,
Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile.

(Dated: January 16, 2013)

In this article we investigate and develop specific aspects of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) scalar field cosmologies related to the interpretation that canonical and phantom scalar field sources may be interpreted as cosmological configurations with a mixture of two interacting barotropic perfect fluids: a matter component $\rho_1(t)$ with a stiff equation of state ($p_1 = \rho_1$), and an "effective vacuum energy" $\rho_2(t)$ with a cosmological constant equation of state ($p_2 = -\rho_2$). An important characteristic of this alternative equivalent formulation in the framework of interacting cosmologies is that it gives, by choosing a suitable form of the interacting term Q, an approach for obtaining exact and numerical solutions. The choice of Q merely determines a specific scalar field with its potential, thus allowing to generate closed, open and flat FRW scalar field cosmologies.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that scalar fields play an important role in modeling both the early and late stages in the evolution of the Universe [12, 17–19, 25, 26, 28, 34, 49, 50, 53, 54]. Inflation is considered an essential part of the description of the early universe [14, 15, 40–42, 48, 56]. It does solve classical cosmological problems such as flatness, horizon and monopole problems, providing at the same time precise predictions for the primordial density inhomogeneities, which are in good agreement with observational data [35]. Many different physical fields and mechanisms may be responsible for this accelerating cosmic expansion [2, 3, 5–9, 11, 20, 21, 27, 31–33, 36–38, 49], however, it has become a common practice to employ scalar fields in order to explain this early accelerated expansion [4]. On the other hand, in recent years, several proposals have been made, by using scalar fields, in order to explain the late acceleration of the Universe [29] and the coincidence problem. In general, due to isotropy and homogeneity of the Universe on cosmological scales, most of the papers consider self-interacting scalar fields in the FRW framework. We shall consider here canonical and phantom scalar fields in the FRW cosmology described by the metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a(t)^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) \right), \quad (1)$$

minimally coupled to gravity. The evolution of a scalar field cosmology is given by

$$3H^2 + \frac{3k}{a^2} = \kappa\rho_\phi, \quad (2)$$

$$\epsilon\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi} + 3\epsilon H\dot{\phi}^2 = \dot{\phi}V', \quad (3)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$, $\kappa = 8\pi G$, $\phi(t)$ is the scalar field, $V(\phi)$ its potential, $\cdot = d/dt$, $\prime = d/d\phi$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. The Eq. (3) represents the dynamic equation for the evolution of a scalar field in a FRW universe. The energy density and pressure of a such scalar field are defined by the relations

$$\rho_\phi = \epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2 + V(\phi), \quad p_\phi = \epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2 - V(\phi), \quad (4)$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$ correspond to canonical and phantom scalar fields respectively.

The corresponding scalar field equation of state (EoS) is given by

$$\omega_\phi = \frac{\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2 - V(\phi)}{\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2 + V(\phi)} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2/2 - \epsilon V(\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2/2 + \epsilon V(\phi)}. \quad (5)$$

Note that ω_ϕ varies in the range $-1 < \omega_\phi < 1$ for $V > 0$, $\epsilon = 1$, and for $V < 0$, $\epsilon = -1$.

Notice that, in order to fulfill the isotropy and homogeneity requirements of FRW models, scalar fields actually have the form $\phi = \phi(t)$, $V = V(t)$, so $\rho_\phi = \rho_\phi(t)$, $p_\phi = p_\phi(t)$ and $\omega_\phi = \omega_\phi(t)$ depend also only on the cosmological time t.

In this paper we show that, any self-interacting scalar field coupled to a FRW cosmology may be equivalently formulated as a mixture of two interacting perfect fluids.

Perfect fluid description for sources plays an important role in cosmology, where are considered single fluid configurations, as well as multifluid ones, for describing the expansion of the Universe. It is well known that

---

*mcataldo@ubiobio.cl
†farevalo@udec.cl
‡patriciomella@udec.cl

for simplicity, and because it is consistent with much we have observed about the universe, usually some epochs of the universe evolution are modeled with perfect fluids and also with mixtures of non interacting perfect fluids. Nevertheless, there are no observational data confirming that this is the only possible scenario. This means that it is theoretically plausible to consider cosmological models containing perfect fluids which interact with each other [16, 30]. This also applies to the description of dark energy models for explaining the accelerated expansion of the universe at the present stage.

In the case of a two-fluid FRW configuration, the cosmological description of a superposition of two perfect fluids $\rho_1(t)$ and $\rho_2(t)$, with barotropic EoS $p_1(t) = \omega_1\rho_1(t)$ and $p_2(t) = \omega_2\rho_2(t)$, allows us to describe an effective fluid $\rho_{_{eff}}(t) = \rho_1(t) + \rho_2(t)$ with an effective pressure given by

$$p_{_{eff}}(t) = \omega_{_{eff}}(t)\rho_{_{eff}}(t) = \frac{\omega_1\rho_1(t) + \omega_2\rho_2(t)}{\rho_1(t) + \rho_2(t)}\,\rho_{_{eff}}(t). \quad (6)$$

Let us suppose that $\rho_1(t) > 0$, $\rho_2(t) > 0$ and $\omega_1 > \omega_2$, then the effective state parameter $\omega_{_{eff}}(t)$ varies in the range $\omega_2 < \omega_{_{eff}} < \omega_1$. Thus, one can mimic the scalar field behavior with a two-fluid description by requiring $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$.

With this purpose in mind we shall identify the first perfect fluid ρ_1 with the scalar field ϕ as

$$\rho_1(t) = \epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2, \quad p_1(t) = \epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2, \quad (7)$$

while the second fluid ρ_2 with the potential V as

$$\rho_2(t) = V(\phi), \quad p_2(t) = -V(\phi). \quad (8)$$

This identification is based on the fact that both terms, $\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2$ and V, contribute to the right hand side of Einstein's equations, serving as the source of the gravitational field. So, this interpretation means that the first matter component is a perfect fluid with a stiff EoS, while the second component is an "effective vacuum energy" with a cosmological constant EoS.

If we require that each component, $\rho_1(t)$ and $\rho_2(t)$, satisfies the standard conservation equation separately, then $\rho_{eff} = C_1 + C_2 a(t)^{-6}$ and the scale factor is given by $a(t) = [-C_1^{-1}C_2\cosh(\sqrt{3\kappa C_1}(t + C))]^{1/6}$. This implies that we can not reproduce all the richness of scalar field cosmologies. In order to do this, one must consider interacting FRW models.

For two interacting fluids $\rho_1(t)$ and $\rho_2(t)$, with barotropic EoS $\rho_1(t) = \omega_1 p_1(t)$ and $\rho_2(t) = \omega_2 p_2(t)$, we can write for the conservation equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho_1} + 3H(1+\omega_1)\rho_1 &= Q(t), &(9)\\ \dot{\rho_2} + 3H(1+\omega_2)\rho_2 &= -Q(t), &(10) \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(t)$ is the interaction term. Note that for $Q > 0$ we have a transfer of energy from the fluid ρ_2 to fluid ρ_1, and for $Q < 0$ from the fluid ρ_1 to ρ_2.

By putting expressions (7) into Eq. (9), and (8) into Eq. (10), with $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$, we respectively obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi} + 3\epsilon H\dot{\phi}^2 &= Q(t), &(11)\\ \dot{\phi}V' &= -Q(t). &(12) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that Eqs. (11) and (12) directly imply the fulfillment of the evolution equation of a scalar field (3). This shows that FRW scalar field models can be seen as configurations with a mixture of a stiff perfect fluid interacting with an "effective vacuum energy". Note that for a vanishing interaction term we have the condition $\dot{\phi}V' = 0$. This implies that we are in presence of a cosmological constant (for $\phi = const$ with $V = V(\phi) = const$), or in the presence of a mixture of a stiff matter with a cosmological constant (for $\phi = \phi(t)$ with $V = const$). Thus, clearly an interaction term $Q \neq 0$ allows the cosmological constant ($p = -\rho = const$) to become a dynamical quantity, always respecting the EoS $p(t) = -\rho(t)$.

Let us remark that to our knowledge this interpretation for scalar fields, described in terms of two interacting fluids, was first mentioned in the Ref. [24], where the author finds the group of symmetry transformation generated by interacting fluids in spatially flat accelerated FRW cosmologies, and applies it to a mixture of n non-interacting canonical scalar fields with exponential potential, in order to have assisted inflation. It must be noted also that in the Ref. [23] this interpretation is applied to a flat FRW cosmology with a self-interacting conformal scalar field Ψ. Specifically, it is considered the potential $V(\Psi) = \lambda\Psi^4 + V_0$ ($V_0 > 0$), and it is shown that this scalar field may be represented as the interaction of two perfect fluids with EoS $p_1 = \rho_1/3$ and $p_2 = -\rho_2 = const$, representing radiation and vacuum energy respectively. However, no one of this papers considers specific interacting terms Q.

II. SOME APPLICATIONS

Now, let us consider some exact cosmological scenarios containing a scalar field ϕ, described by a potential V. The question of the choice of the interacting term remains crucial, so we would like to make this choice motivated by considered in the literature specific forms for $Q(t)$ [22, 30, 39, 46, 51, 52]. In the following, we shall obtain some known exact solutions for self-interacting scalar field FRW cosmologies (with k=-1,0,1).

We shall begin by considering an interacting term given by

$$Q(t) = 3\alpha H\rho_1. \quad (13)$$

where α is a constant parameter. In this case from Eqs. (9) and (10) we obtain that for $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$ the energy densities are given by

$$\rho_1(a) = C_1 a^{3(\alpha-2)}, \quad (14)$$

$$\rho_2(a) \;=\; C_2 + \frac{C_1\alpha}{2-\alpha}\, a^{3(\alpha-2)}, \tag{15}$$

and for $\omega_1 = -1$ and $\omega_2 = 1$ the energy densities are given by

$$\rho_1(a) \;=\; C_1 a^{3\alpha}, \tag{16}$$

$$\rho_2(a) \;=\; C_2 a^{-6} - \frac{C_1\alpha}{\alpha+2}\, a^{3\alpha}. \tag{17}$$

Note that in both cases for $C_2 = 0$ we have that $\rho_1 \sim \rho_2$. The interpretation is direct: for $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$ the interacting term is proportional to the stiff matter energy density (ρ_s), while for $\omega_1 = -1$ and $\omega_2 = 1$ the interacting term is proportional to effective vacuum energy density (ρ_v).

In order to find the scale factor, we must use the Friedmann equation in the form

$$3H^2 + \frac{3k}{a^2} = \kappa\rho_1(a) + \kappa\rho_2(a). \tag{18}$$

In general, we can not find the scale factor in analytical form, so sometimes we shall look for some particular solutions to Friedmann equation (18).

Now we shall discuss solutions for energy densities (14) and (15). Solutions for energy densities in the form (16) and (17) will be discussed in Sec. III.

A. Exponential potential in flat FRW cosmologies

First, let us consider the case $C_2 = 0$. Thus from Eqs. (14) and (15) we have that $r = \rho_2/\rho_1 = \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$. In this case, for $k = 0$, the scale factor is given by

$$a(t) = a_0 (t + C)^{2/(6-3\alpha)}. \tag{19}$$

Thus the energy densities (14) and (15) take the form

$$\rho_1(t) \;=\; \frac{\tilde{C}_1}{(t+C)^2}, \tag{20}$$

$$\rho_2(t) \;=\; \frac{\tilde{C}_1\alpha}{(2-\alpha)(t+C)^2}, \tag{21}$$

where $\tilde{C}_1 = C_1 a_0^{-3(2-\alpha)}$. By comparing Eqs. (7) and (20) we find that $\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 = \tilde{C}_1 (t+C)^{-2}$, implying that the scalar field has the form

$$\phi(t) = \pm\sqrt{\epsilon\tilde{C}_1}\,\ln(t+C) + \phi_0, \tag{22}$$

where ϕ_0 is an integration constant. Now from Eqs. (8) and (21), and by taking into account Eq. (22), we have that the potential is given by

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\tilde{C}_1\alpha}{(2-\alpha)} e^{\pm(\phi(t)-\phi_0)/\sqrt{\epsilon\tilde{C}_1}}. \tag{23}$$

Note that for a canonical scalar field (i.e. $\epsilon = 1$ and the kinetic term of the scalar field is positive) we have that $\tilde{C}_1 > 0$ and the energy density $\rho_1 > 0$. For $0 < \alpha < 2$ we have a positive potential ($\rho_2 > 0$) and for $\alpha > 2$ or $\alpha < 0$ we have a negative scalar field potential ($\rho_2 < 0$). In the framework of this perfect fluid interpretation, we conclude that for $0 < \alpha < 2$ (and $\alpha > 2$) the interacting term $Q > 0$, implying that the energy is being transferred from the "effective vacuum energy" ρ_2 to the stiff fluid ρ_1, while for $\alpha < 0$ we have that $Q < 0$ and the energy goes from the stiff fluid to the "effective vacuum energy".

For a phantom scalar field (i.e. $\epsilon = -1$ and the kinetic term of the scalar field is positive) we have that $\tilde{C}_1 < 0$ and then Eq. (20) implies that $\rho_1 < 0$. For $0 < \alpha < 2$ we have a negative potential ($\rho_2 < 0$) and for $\alpha > 2$ or $\alpha < 0$ we have a positive scalar field potential ($\rho_2 > 0$). On the other hand, in this case we have that for $0 < \alpha < 2$ (and $\alpha > 2$) the interacting term $Q < 0$ since $\rho_1 < 0$, implying that the energy is being transferred from the stiff fluid ρ_1 to the "effective vacuum energy" ρ_2, while for $\alpha < 0$ we have that $Q > 0$ and the energy goes from the "effective vacuum energy" to the stiff fluid.

It is remarkable that in this case the canonical and phantom scalar fields have the same EoS, given by

$$\omega_\phi = 1 - \alpha. \tag{24}$$

Thus we have that $-1 < \omega_\phi < 1$ for $0 < \alpha < 2$, while for $\alpha > 2$ and $\alpha < 0$ it is verified that $\omega_\phi < -1$ and $\omega_\phi > 1$ respectively. In this case both types of scalar fields cosmologies have the same scale factor.

It is interesting to note that we can put for energy densities (14) and (15) $\omega_1 = -1$ and $\omega_2 = 1$ and identify $\rho_1(t) = V(\phi), \rho_2(t) = \epsilon\dot{\phi}^2/2$, but in this case we can not write the scale factor, for $C_1 \neq 0$ and $C_2 \neq 0$, in analytical form. For the case $C_1 \neq 0$, $C_2 = 0$ the solutions are included in (22) and (23).

Notice that for energy densities (16) and (17), with $C_2 = 0$ we obtain also a scalar field with an exponential potential.

B. Sinusoidal potential in flat FRW cosmologies

Let us now consider the energy densities (14) and (15) with $C_2 \neq 0$. Thus from the Friedmann equation, with $k = 0$, we obtain that the scale factor is given by

$$a(t) = a_0 \times \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\kappa}{4}C_2}(2-\alpha)(t+C)\right)\right]^{\frac{2}{3(2-\alpha)}}, \tag{25}$$

where C is an integration constant, and the constraint $C_2(\alpha - 2) = 2C_1 a_0^{3(\alpha-2)}$ must be fulfilled. Thus we can write energy densities as functions of the cosmological time t

$$\rho_1(t) \;=\; \frac{C_2(\alpha-2)}{2\cosh^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\kappa}{4}C_2}(2-\alpha)(t+C)\right)}, \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2(t) &= C_2 \times \\ &\left[1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\cosh^{-2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\kappa}{4}}C_2(2-\alpha)(t+C)\right)\right]. \quad (27)\end{aligned}$$

Now we shall connect this interacting solution with a scalar field. From Eqs. (7) and (26) we have that the scalar field has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(t) - \phi_0 &= \frac{\pm 1}{(\alpha-2)}\sqrt{\frac{16\epsilon(\alpha-2)}{3\kappa}} \times \\ &\arctan\left(e^{\sqrt{3\kappa C_2/4}(\alpha-2)(t+C)}\right), \quad (28)\end{aligned}$$

while from Eqs. (8) and (27), and by taking onto account Eq. (28), we find that the potential is given by

$$V(\phi) = C_2\left[1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\sin^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\kappa}{4\epsilon(\alpha-2)}}(\alpha-2)(\phi-\phi_0)\right)\right]. \quad (29)$$

This type of potentials are considered for describing natural/chaotic inflation [1].

C. Quadratic potential in non-flat FRW cosmologies

Now we shall consider a solution for energy densities in the form (14) and (15) with $k \neq 0$. This implies that $\rho_1 + \rho_2 = \frac{2C_1}{2-\alpha}a^{-6+3\alpha} + C_2$. Let us consider the particular solution $a(t) = a_0 e^{At}$, where a_0 and A are constants. Thus in order to satisfy the Friedmann equation (18) the following constraints must be fulfilled: $\alpha = 4/3$, $\kappa C_1 = k$ and $\kappa C_2 = 3A^2$, and energy densities take the form

$$\kappa\rho_1 = \frac{k}{a^2}, \quad \kappa\rho_2 = \frac{2k}{a^2} + 3A^2. \quad (30)$$

By comparing Eqs. (7) and (8) with expressions (30) we find that

$$\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 = \frac{2k}{\kappa a^2}, \quad V = \frac{2k}{\kappa a^2} + \frac{3A^2}{\kappa}. \quad (31)$$

Thus we have that the scalar field has the form

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 \pm \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon k/\kappa}}{A a_0}e^{-At}, \quad (32)$$

where ϕ_0 is an integration constant, while the potential is given by

$$V(\phi) = \frac{3A^2}{\kappa} + \epsilon A^2(\phi-\phi_0)^2. \quad (33)$$

Note that for a canonical field we have that $\epsilon = k = 1$ and then $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$, implying that the kinetic term and the potential are always positive magnitudes. On the other hand, for a phantom scalar field we have that $\epsilon = k = -1$ and then $\rho_1 < 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ for $a > \sqrt{2A^2/3}$, implying that the kinetic term is always negative. In this case the interacting term is given by $Q = 4H\rho_1$, thus for a canonical scalar field the transfer of energy goes from the effective vacuum fluid to the stiff one, and for a phantom scalar field the energy is being transferred from the stiff fluid to the effective vacuum.

D. Quadratic potential in flat FRW cosmologies

Let us now consider an interacting term given by

$$Q(t) = 3H\alpha, \quad (34)$$

where α is a constant parameter. For the state parameter values $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$ the solution has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1(a) &= \frac{\alpha}{2} + C_1 a^{-6}, \quad (35)\\ \rho_2(a) &= C_2 - 3\alpha\ln a. \quad (36)\end{aligned}$$

In order to find an explicit expression for the scale factor let us consider the solution (35) and (36) with $C_1 = 0$. Thus we find

$$a(t) = a_0 e^{-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{4}(t+C)^2}, \quad (37)$$

where C is a constant of integration, and the energy densities take the form

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1(t) &= \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad (38)\\ \rho_2(t) &= \tilde{C}_2 + \frac{3\kappa\alpha^2}{4}(t+C)^2, \quad (39)\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C}_2 = C_2 - 3\alpha\ln a_0$. By comparing Eqs. (7) and (38) we find that $\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 = \alpha$, implying that the scalar field has the form

$$\phi(t) = \pm\sqrt{\epsilon\alpha}\,t + C_3, \quad (40)$$

where C_3 is an integration constant. Now from Eqs. (8) and (39) we have that the potential as function of the cosmological time t is given by $V(t) = \tilde{C}_2 + \frac{3\kappa\alpha^2}{4}(t+C)^2$. By rewriting the scalar field (40) as $\phi(t) = \pm\sqrt{\epsilon\alpha}(t+C) + \phi_0$ we can write the potential in the form

$$V(\phi) = \tilde{C}_2 + \frac{3\kappa\epsilon\alpha}{4}(\phi-\phi_0)^2. \quad (41)$$

The Eq. (41) is one of the basic potentials considered in standard cosmology.

Note that for $\omega_1 = -1$ and $\omega_2 = 1$ the energy densities are given by $\rho_1(a) = C_2 + 3\alpha\ln a$ and $\rho_2(a) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} + C_1 a^{-6}$, implying that is the same solution discussed above.

E. Non linear interacting term

Now we shall consider a non-linear coupling given by

$$Q = 3\alpha H \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}. \tag{42}$$

For the state parameter values $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$ the energy densities are given by

$$\rho_1(a) = \frac{C_1}{C_2}\, a^{3\alpha-6}(C_1 a^{3\alpha-6} + C_2)^{-\alpha/(\alpha-2)}, \tag{43}$$

$$\rho_2(a) = (C_1 a^{3\alpha-6} + C_2)^{-\alpha/(\alpha-2)}, \tag{44}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants of integration. Note that in this case the energy densities satisfy the relation $\rho_1/\rho_2 = \frac{C_1}{C_2}\, a^{3\alpha-6}$.

Now, in order to find an analytical solution we shall put $\alpha = 1$. Thus the scale factor is given by

$$a(t) = \frac{C_2^{2/3}}{C_2}\left(e^{\pm\sqrt{3\kappa C_2}(t+C)} - C_1\right)^{1/3}, \tag{45}$$

where C is an integration constant. This implies that the energy densities will be given by

$$\rho_1(t) = \frac{C_1 C_2 e^{\pm\sqrt{3\kappa C_2}(t+C)}}{(e^{\pm\sqrt{3\kappa C_2}(t+C)} - C_1)^2}, \tag{46}$$

$$\rho_2(t) = \frac{C_2 e^{\pm\sqrt{3\kappa C_2}(t+C)}}{e^{\pm\sqrt{3\kappa C_2}(t+C)} - C_1}. \tag{47}$$

Let us now consider the positive branch, i.e. $a(t) = \frac{C_2^{2/3}}{C_2}\left(e^{\sqrt{3\kappa C_2}(t+C)} - C_1\right)^{1/3}$. Thus the scalar field and its potential have the form

$$\phi(t) - \phi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{8\epsilon}{3\kappa}} arctanh\left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3\kappa C_2}(t+C)}}{\sqrt{C_1}}\right), \tag{48}$$

$$V(\phi) = C_2\left(1 - \cosh^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{3\kappa}{8\epsilon}}(\phi - \phi_0)\right)\right), \tag{49}$$

respectively.

Finally, note that due to the symmetry of the interacting term (42) with respect to energy densities ρ_1 and ρ_2 the solution for $\omega_1 = -1$ and $\omega_2 = 1$ is given by $\rho_1(a) = (C_1 a^{-3\alpha-6} + C_2)^{-\alpha/(\alpha+2)}$, $\rho_2(a) = \frac{C_1}{C_2}\, a^{-3\alpha-6}(C_1 a^{-3\alpha-6} + C_2)^{-\alpha/(\alpha+2)}$, implying that is the same solution of Eqs. (43) and (44).

III. A PROCEDURE FOR FINDING THE SCALAR FIELD AS FUNCTION OF THE SCALE FACTOR a

Up to now we have shown how we can obtain scalar field cosmologies by writing a scalar field as a function of the cosmological time t. However one can make the same by using the scale factor a as a main variable. Let us suppose that the energy densities ρ_1 and ρ_2 are given as functions of the scale factor a. From Eq. (7) we obtain $\pm\sqrt{2\epsilon\rho_1(a)} = \phi'(a)\dot{a}$, while from the Friedmann equation (2) we can write $\dot{a} = \pm\sqrt{(\kappa/3)(\rho_1 + \rho_2)a^2 - k}$, thus obtaining

$$\phi(a) = \pm\int\sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon\rho_1(a)}{\kappa[\rho_1(a) + \rho_2(a)]a^2 - 3k}}\, da + \phi_0, \tag{50}$$

where ϕ_0 is a constant of integration. In order to write the potential as $V = V(\phi)$ we can write from (50) the scale factor as $a = a(\phi)$ and introducing it into Eq. (8) we can write the potential as a function of the scale factor.

Note that we can find the scale factor as function of the cosmological time with the help of the general expression

$$t + C = \pm\int\frac{\phi'}{\sqrt{2\epsilon\rho_1(a)}}\, da, \tag{51}$$

where C is an integration constant.

A. An application for $Q = 3H\alpha$

As a simple example let us consider again the interacting scenario $Q = 3H\alpha$. From Eqs. (35), (36) and (50) we obtain

$$\phi(a) - \phi_0 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{3\kappa\alpha}\,(\alpha + 2C_2 - 6\alpha\ln a)}, \tag{52}$$

where ϕ_0 is an integration constant and we have put $C_1 = 0$. Thus by taking into account Eq. (8) we have that the potential is given by

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3\kappa\epsilon\alpha}{4}(\phi - \phi_0)^2. \tag{53}$$

Now, from Eqs. (51), (52), and by taking into account that $\rho_1(a) = \alpha/2$, we obtain that

$$a(t) \sim e^{-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{4}(t+C)^2}. \tag{54}$$

B. An application for $Q = 3\alpha H\rho_1$

Now, we shall use our procedure to generate a scalar field cosmology for interacting scenarios described by the coupling $Q = 3\alpha H\rho_1$. In the following we shall found the general solution for Eqs. (14) and (15) with $\alpha = 4/3$. From Eq. (50) we find that

$$\phi(a) - \phi_0 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon C_1}{\kappa C_1 - k}} \times \ln\left(\frac{6(\kappa C_1 - k) + 2\sqrt{9(\kappa C_1 - k)^2 + 3\kappa C_2(\kappa C_1 - k)a^2}}{a}\right) \tag{55}$$

for $C_1 \neq k/\kappa$, and

$$\phi(a) - \phi_0 = \pm\sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon k}{\kappa^2 C_2 a^2}} \tag{56}$$

for $C_1 = k/\kappa$.

Thus the potential is given by

$$V(\phi) = C_2 + \frac{C_1}{72(\kappa C_1 - k)^2} \times \left(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\kappa C_1 - k}{2C_1\epsilon}}\phi} - 12\kappa C_2(\kappa C_1 - k)e^{\sqrt{\frac{\kappa C_1 - k}{2C_1\epsilon}}\phi}\right)^2 \tag{57}$$

for $C_1 \neq k/\kappa$, and

$$V(\phi) = C_2 + \frac{\kappa\epsilon C_2}{3}(\phi - \phi_0)^2 \tag{58}$$

for $C_1 = k/\kappa$.

The scale factor may be found by using Eq. (51) with $\rho_1(a) = C_1/a^2$. Thus with the help of Eq. (55) we have

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\kappa C_2}} \times \left(e^{\sqrt{\frac{\kappa C_2}{3}}(t+C)} - 3(\kappa C_1 - k)e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\kappa C_2}{3}}(t+C)}\right) \tag{59}$$

for $C_1 \neq k/\kappa$, and with the help of Eq. (56) we find

$$a(t) = e^{\sqrt{\frac{\kappa C_2}{3}}(t+C)} \tag{60}$$

for $C_1 = k/\kappa$.

From this solution we can obtain discussed before in the literature scalar field cosmologies. For example the solution given by Eqs. (58) and (60) is the same solution given by Eqs. (30)-(33). They are connected by the relation $\kappa C_2 = 3A^2$.

On the other hand for $\kappa C_1 = (k + 1/3)$ the scale factor takes the form $a(t) = (1/\kappa C_2)\sinh(\sqrt{\kappa C_2/3}\,(t + C))$

Lastly, let us consider a solution for energy densities in the form (16) and (17). For $\alpha = -7/3$ from eq. (50) we have that $\phi(a) - \phi_0 = \pm\int\sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon C_1}{\kappa(-6C_1a^2 + C_2a^3)}}\,da$, obtaining for the scalar field

$$\phi(a) - \phi_0 = \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa}}\arctan\sqrt{\frac{-6C_1 + C_2 a}{6C_1}}. \tag{61}$$

Thus by taking into account Eq. (16) we conclude that the potential has the form

$$V(\phi) = \frac{C_1 C_2^7}{[6C_1(1 + \tan^2(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{4\epsilon}}\,\phi))]^7}. \tag{62}$$

By using Eq. (51) we find for the scale factor

$$t + C = \pm\frac{\sqrt{a(C_2 a - 6C_1)}}{\sqrt{12\kappa}C_2^3}(2C_2^2a^2 + 15C_1C_2a + 135C_1^2) \pm\frac{135C_1^3}{4}\sqrt{\frac{3}{\kappa C_2^7}}\ln\frac{[C_2 a - 3C_1 + \sqrt{C_2 a(C_2 a - 6C_1)}]^2}{C_2}. \tag{63}$$

C. An application for $Q = 3\alpha H\frac{\rho_1\rho_2}{\rho_1+\rho_2}$

Let us now consider the non-linear interacting term $Q = 3\alpha H\frac{\rho_1\rho_2}{\rho_1+\rho_2}$. We shall found the general solution for Eqs. (43) and (44) with $\alpha = 5/3$. From Eq. (50) we find that

$$\phi(a) - \phi_0 = -2\sqrt{\frac{6\epsilon}{\kappa}}\,arctanh\left(\sqrt{\frac{C_1 + C_2 a}{C_1}}\right), \tag{64}$$

where ϕ_0 is an integration constant. Consequently, by taking into account Eqs. (8) and (44) the potential is given by

$$V(\phi) = -C_2^5\sinh^{10}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{24\epsilon}}(\phi - \phi_0)\right). \tag{65}$$

Now, by using Eqs. (43), (51) and (64) we find for the scale factor

$$t + C = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\kappa C_2^5}} \times \frac{3C_1^2 + 4C_1C_2a + \ln\left[(C_1 + C_2a)^{2(C_1+C_2\,a)^2}\right]}{(C_1 + C_2\,a)^2}. \tag{66}$$

Lastly, as another example, note that by following the same procedure we can directly rederive the solution for $\alpha = 1$ discussed at the end of Sec. II.

IV. SOME GENERAL RELATIONS FOR SCALAR FIELDS

Note that in the framework of FRW scalar field cosmologies we can obtain some general relations involving ϕ and V for specific interacting terms Q. In general, in this alternative equivalent formulation of scalar fields the barotropic perfect fluids ρ_1 and ρ_2 with EoS $\omega_{1,2} = \pm 1$ or $\omega_{1,2} = \mp 1$ must fulfill Eqs. (9) and (10). If the interaction term has the form $Q = 3\alpha H\rho_1$ then the solution may be written through $\rho_1(t)$ in the following form:

$$\rho_2(t) = C_1\rho_1(t)^{\frac{\omega_2+1}{\omega_1+1-\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha\,\rho_1(t)}{\omega_1 - \omega_2 - \alpha}, \tag{67}$$

$$a(t) = C_2\rho_1(t)^{-\frac{1}{\omega_1+1-\alpha}}. \tag{68}$$

This form has the advantage to provide us with a way to relate the kinetic term $\dot\phi^2$ and scalar field potential V.

Let us first consider that the barotropic perfect fluids ρ_1 and ρ_2 are a stiff fluid ρ_s and an effective vacuum ρ_v, respectively. Therefore, by putting $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$ into Eqs. (67) we obtain

$$\rho_2(t) = C_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha}\,\rho_1(t). \tag{69}$$

Thus, by taking into account the Eqs. (7) and (8) we may rewrite Eq. (69) in the form

$$V(t) = C_1 + \frac{\alpha\epsilon}{2(2 - \alpha)}\,\dot\phi^2. \tag{70}$$

On the other hand, if now the barotropic perfect fluids ρ_1 and ρ_2 are respectively an effective vacuum fluid and a stiff one, then by putting $\omega_1 = -1$ and $\omega_2 = 1$ into Eqs. (67) we obtain

$$\rho_2(t) = C_1 \rho_1(t)^{-2/\alpha} - \frac{\alpha \rho_1(t)}{2+\alpha}. \tag{71}$$

Now by taking into account the Eqs. (7) and (8) we may rewrite Eq. (71) in the form

$$\frac{\epsilon \dot{\phi}^2}{2} = C_1 V(t)^{-2/\alpha} - \frac{\alpha V(t)}{2+\alpha}. \tag{72}$$

Thus any scalar field ϕ, which satisfies conditions (70) or (72) has an alternative equivalent formulation in the framework of interacting cosmologies with an interaction term of the form $Q = 3\alpha H \rho_s$ or $Q = 3\alpha H \rho_v$.

For interacting scenarios described by the interaction $Q = 3H\alpha$ the solution may be written through $\rho_1(t)$ in the form

$$\rho_2(t) = C_2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln\left(\frac{C_1}{\rho_1(t) - \alpha/2}\right), \tag{73}$$

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{C_1}{\rho_1(t) - \alpha/2}\right)^{1/6}, \tag{74}$$

for $\omega_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = -1$ and $C_1 \neq 0$. Thus, by taking into account the Eqs. (7) and (8) we may rewrite Eq. (73) in the form

$$V(t) = C_2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \ln\left(\frac{2C_1}{\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 - \alpha}\right). \tag{75}$$

Note that for the case $C_1 = 0$ the relation (41) must be considered for V and ϕ.

For interacting scenarios described by $Q = 3\alpha H \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}$ with $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -1$ the solution may be written through $\rho_2(t)$ in the form

$$\rho_1(t) \ = \ \left(\frac{\rho_2(t)^{(2-\alpha)/\alpha} - C_2}{C_2}\right) \rho_2(t), \tag{76}$$

$$a(t) \ = \ \left(\frac{\rho_2(t)^{(2-\alpha)/\alpha} - C_2}{C_1}\right)^{1/(3\alpha-6)}. \tag{77}$$

Thus, by taking into account the Eqs. (7) and (8) we may rewrite Eq. (76) in the form

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 \ = \ \left(\frac{V(t)^{(2-\alpha)/\alpha} - C_2}{C_2}\right) V(t). \tag{78}$$

V. CONCLUSIONS

Within the framework of FRW cosmologies scalar fields play a crucial role in the description of accelerated expansion of the Universe. This mainly because the scalar field state parameter varies in the range $-1 < \omega_\phi < 1$, inducing an accelerated expansion for $\omega_\phi < -1/3$. In this work we have shown that isotropic and homogeneous scalar field cosmologies may be interpreted as cosmological configurations with a mixture of a stiff perfect fluid interacting with an "effective vacuum energy". In the absence of an interaction, we still have an accelerated expansion, due to the presence of a cosmological constant ($\phi = const$ and $V = V(\phi) = const$), or to the presence of a mixture of a stiff matter with a cosmological constant ($\phi = \phi(t)$ and $V = const$). Therefore the interaction term Q allows the cosmological constant ($p = -\rho = const$) to become a dynamical quantity, always respecting the EoS $p(t) = -\rho(t)$. An important feature of this interpretation is that it gives an approach to obtain analytical solutions. By selecting a suitable Q one easily integrates the equations for FRW scalar field cosmologies in closed form. We explicitly obtain exact solutions in cosmological time t, and the potentials can be written as functions of the scalar field ϕ, provided that the scalar field and its potential are invertible functions. As we have illustrated in previous sections many solutions sparsely found in the literature result from specific considered choices of the interacting term Q. On the other hand, it is useful to note that Eqs. (11) and (12) imply that we can determine the form of the interacting term Q for a given scalar field ϕ with its potential V.

From the discussed interpretation we have that cosmological models used for describing the present accelerated expansion of the Universe and filled with a quintessence, in the form of a scalar field, and a dark matter component may be interpreted as cosmologies filled with three fluids: a dust component, a stiff perfect fluid and an "effective vacuum energy". In this case we can have models where the dark matter component does not interact with any other component, satisfying the standard conservation equation, while other two components interact with each other, or scenarios where all three fluid matter components interact with each other.

Since the behavior of any scalar field cosmology can be emulated by a mixture of two interacting perfect fluids with equation of state $\omega_{1,2} = \pm 1$, it becomes clear that the behavior of perfect fluid interacting cosmologies is richer than the one of scalar field cosmologies, since it can be considered also state parameter values $\omega_{1,2} \neq \pm 1$. This is more clear for cosmologies which crosses the phantom divide. In general, the crossing of the phantom divide cannot be achieved with a unique scalar field. The simplest model is constructed with the help of two scalar fields, namely one canonical and one phantom, and the DE is attributed to their combination [10]. However, such a crossing can be done with the help of two interacting [55] or non-interacting [43] perfect fluids with state parameters $\omega_1 < -1$ and $\omega_2 > -1$.

Lastly, let us note that there are in the literature various studies dealing with relations between fluids and scalar fields. For example in Ref. [4] such relations are studied in the framework of dark energy cosmological models. However, the proposed procedure gives a way to express a fluid model as an explicit single or multiple scalar field theories. In some sense, this is the opposite to the interpretation of a scalar field discussed in this paper.

In Refs. [13, 44, 45, 47] also are studied relations between fluids and scalar fields. Nevertheless, in these papers it is developed a reconstruction program for scalar field cosmologies in Einstein theory and in the framework of modified gravities such as scalar-tensor theory, f(R), F(G) and string-inspired, scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity. In this scheme, the authors use a generating function f in order to find cosmological models with one or more scalar fields. It is interesting to note that in the mentioned references the gravity-scalar system contains a potential and a scalar coupling function in front of kinetic term. Our equivalent presentation for scalar fields, stating that a scalar field in FRW cosmology may be interpreted as a mixture of an interacting stiff fluid with an effective vacuum one, can be applied in particular to the scalar field solutions discussed in Refs. [13, 44, 45, 47]. This is possible since the authors do not separate a scalar field source into two interacting perfect fluids, as we proposed. This work is currently in progress and should be available in the near future.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by CONICYT through Grants FONDECYT N^0 1080530 (MC), N^0 21070949 (FA) and N^0 21070462 (PM) and by Dirección de Investigación de la Universidad del Bío–Bío through grants N^0 DIUBB 121007 2/R and N^0 GI121407/VBC (MC, FA, PM).

[1] Alabidi, L. and Lyth, D. H.: JCAP **0605**, 016 (2006) (2010)
[2] Avelino, A. and Nucamendi, U.: JCAP **1008**, 009 (2010)
[3] Avelino, A. and Nucamendi, U.: JCAP **0904**, 006 (2009)
[4] Bamba, K. Capozziello, S., Nojiri S. 'i. and Odintsov, S. D.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **342**, 155 (2012)
[5] Bamba, K., Geng, C.Q., Nojiri, S.'i. and Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Rev. D **79**, 083014 (2009)
[6] Banijamali, A. and Fazlpour, B.: JCAP **1201**, 039 (2012)
[7] Banijamali, A. and Fazlpour, B.: Phys. Lett. B **703**, 366 (2011)
[8] Brevik, I., Elizalde, E., Nojiri, S. 'i. and Odintsov, S.D.: Phys. Rev. D **84**, 103508 (2011)
[9] Brevik, I., Gorbunova, O. and Saez-Gomez, D.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **42**, 1513 (2010)
[10] Cai, Y.F., Saridakis, E.N., Setare, .R. and Xia, J.Q.: Phys. Rept. **493**, 1 (2010)
[11] Cai, Y.F. and Wang, J.: Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 165014 (2008)
[12] Capozziello, S., Piedipalumbo, E., Rubano, C. and P. Scudellaro: Phys. Rev. D **80**, 104030 (2009)
[13] Capozziello, S., Nojiri, S. 'i. and Odintsov, S. D: Phys. Lett. B **632**, 597 (2006)
[14] Cardenas., V. H.: Phys. Rev. D **75**, 083512 (2007)
[15] Cardenas., V. H.: Phys. Rev. D **73**, 103512 (2006)
[16] Cataldo, M, Mella, P., Minning, P. and Saavedra, J.: Phys. Lett. B **662**, 314 (2008)
[17] Cataldo, M. and del Campo, S.: Phys. Rev. D **62**, 023501 (2000)
[18] Charters, T. and Mimoso, J. P.: JCAP **1008**, 022 (2010)
[19] Charters, T. and Mimoso, J. P.: JCAP **1008**, 022 (2010)
[20] Chattopadhyay, S.: The European Physical Journal Plus **126**, 130 (2011)
[21] Chattopadhyay, S. and Debnath, U.: Can. J. Phys. **88**, 933 (2010)
[22] Chattopadhyay, S. and Debnath, U.: Astrophys. Space Sci. **326**, 155 (2010)
[23] Chimento, L.: Phys. Lett. B **633**, 9 (2006)
[24] Chimento, L.: Phys. Rev. D **65**, 063517 (2002)
[25] Faraoni, V.: Phys. Lett. B **703**, 228 (2011)
[26] Copeland, E. J., Sami, M. and Tsujikawa, S.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006)
[27] Cruz, N., Lepe, S. and Pena, F.: Phys. Lett. B **646**, 177 (2007)
[28] D'Ambroise, J.: arXiv:1005.1410 [gr-qc]
[29] Elizalde, E., Nojiri, S. 'i. and Odintsov, S. D.: Phys. Rev. D **70**, 043539 (2004)
[30] Farajollahi, H., Mohamadi, N. and Amiri, H.: Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25**, 2579 (2010)
[31] Felippe, L., Rodrigues, S. and Opher, R.: Phys. Rev. D **82**, 023501 (2010)
[32] Felippe, L., Sun, C.B., Wang, J. -L. and Li, X. -Z.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **18**, 1303 (2009)
[33] Ghosh, R., Chattopadhyay, S. and Debnath, U.: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51**, 589 (2012)
[34] Giovannini, M.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **22**, 2697 (2007)
[35] Hinshaw, G. *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration]: Astrophys. J. Suppl. **180**, 225 (2009)
[36] Ito, Y. and Nojiri, S. 'i.: Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103008 (2009)
[37] Jamil, M. and Farooq, M. U.: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 42 (2010)
[38] Jamil, M., Farooq, M. U. and Rashid, M. A.: Eur. Phys. J. C **61**, 471 (2009)
[39] Kofinas, G., Panotopoulos, G. and Tomaras.T. N.: JHEP **0601**, 107 (2006)
[40] Kofman.L., Linde, A. D. and Starobinsky, A. A.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3195 (1994)
[41] Linde, A. D.: Phys. Rev. D **49**, 748 (1994)
[42] Linde, A. D.: Phys. Lett. B **129**, 177 (1983)
[43] Nesseris, S. and Perivolaropoulos, L.: JCAP **0701**, 018 (2007)
[44] Nojiri, V. and Odintsov, S. D.: Phys. Rept. **505**, 59 (2011)
[45] Nojiri, S. 'i. and Odintsov, S. D.: J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **66**, 012005 (2007)
[46] Nojiri, S. 'i. and Odintsov, S. D.: Phys. Lett. B **639**, 144 (2006)
[47] Nojiri, S. 'i. and Odintsov S. D.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **38**, 1285 (2006)
[48] Nojiri, S. 'i. and Odintsov, S. D.: Phys. Rev. D **68**, 123512 (2003)
[49] Ren, J. and Meng, X. H.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **16** 1341,

(2007)
[50] Ren, J. and Meng, X. H.: Phys. Lett. B **636**, 5 (2006)
[51] Setare, M. R. and Sheykhi, A.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **19**, 1205 (2010)
[52] Tomaras, T. N.: arXiv:hep-ph/0610412
[53] Wang, F. and Yang, J. M.: Eur. Phys. J. C **45**, 815 (2006)
[54] Williams, F. L., Kevrekidis, P. G., Christodoulakis, T., Helias, C., Papadopoulos, G. O. and Grammenos, T.: gr-qc/0408056
[55] Zhang, H.: arXiv:0909.3013 [astro-ph.CO]
[56] Zimdahl, W., Pavon, D. and Maartens, R.: Phys. Rev. D **55**, 4681 (1997)